

# The Initial Boundary Value Problems for a Class of Multidimensional Nonlinear Pseudo-Hyperbolic System of Higher Order\*

Man Yan<sup>1</sup>, Liming Xiao<sup>2#</sup>

School of Computer Science, Guangdong Polytechnic Normal University, Guangzhou  
Email: #xlmwhj@21cn.com

Received: Sep. 21<sup>st</sup>, 2012; revised: Sep. 30<sup>th</sup>, 2012; accepted: Oct. 17<sup>th</sup>, 2012

**Abstract:** In this paper, the initial boundary value problems for a class of multidimensional nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic system of higher order have been studied by Galerkin method, combining with the priori estimate of solution and Sobolev imbedding theorems, the existence and uniqueness of the global strong solution have been proved.

**Keywords:** Nonlinear Pseudo-Hyperbolic System; Priori Estimate; Galerkin Method; Sobolev Imbedding Theorems; The Global Strong Solutions

## 一类高阶 $n$ 维非线性伪双曲方程组的初边值问题\*

严 曼<sup>1</sup>, 肖黎明<sup>2#</sup>

广东技术师范学院计算机科学学院, 广州  
Email: #xlmwhj@21cn.com

收稿日期: 2012 年 9 月 21 日; 修回日期: 2012 年 9 月 30 日; 录用日期: 2012 年 10 月 17 日

**摘 要:** 本文研究了一类高阶  $n$  维非线性伪双曲方程组, 利用 Galerkin 方法, 通过解的先验估计, 结合 Sobolev 嵌入定理, 证明了初边值问题整体强解的存在性和唯一性。

**关键词:** 非线性伪双曲方程组; 先验估计; Galerkin 方法; Sobolev 嵌入定理; 整体强解

### 1. 引言

非线性伪双曲方程是从动物神经传播, 粘弹性杆纵振动等生物、力学中提出的一类重要的非线性偏微分方程, 它的研究具有重要的理论与实际意义。文[1]研究了一类高阶非线性伪双曲方程组, 但空间变量是一维的。文[2]研究了一类多维非线性伪双曲方程  $u_{tt} - \Delta u_t = f(u)$  的整体解, 文[3]研究了一类高阶  $n$  维非线性伪双曲方程的初边值问题。关于高阶  $n$  维非线性伪双曲方程组的研究在已有文献中还未见到, 本文研究了一类高阶  $n$  维非线性伪双曲方程组的初边值问题, 得到了整体强解的存在性和唯一性。

设  $\Omega$  是  $R^n$  中具有充分光滑边界的有界域,  $T > 0$ , 考虑如下的初边值问题:

$$(I) \begin{cases} u_{tt} + (-1)^M \Delta^M u + (-1)^M \Delta u_t = f(u_t), x \in \Omega, t \in [0, T], & (1.1) \\ D^\gamma u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0, & 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, & (1.2) \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, & (1.3) \\ u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \Omega, & (1.4) \end{cases}$$

\*资助信息: 第一作者的研究已经得到国家自然科学基金(NO. 11201086)的资助。

#通讯作者。

其中  $M$  为正整数,  $\varphi(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,  $\psi(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,  $H^{2M}(\Omega), H_0^M(\Omega)$  为相应的 Sobolev 空间, 其意义见[4],  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)^T$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$  为多重指标,  $\gamma_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  为非负整数,  $|\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$ ,  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}_t), f_2(\mathbf{u}_t), \dots, f_N(\mathbf{u}_t))^T$ , 假设  $\mathbf{f}$  满足:  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in C^1$  且 Jacobian 矩阵  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$  半有界, 即  $\exists k_0 > 0$ ,  $\forall \xi \in R^n$  满足:

$$\left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \xi, \xi \right) \leq k_0 (\xi, \xi), \quad (1.5)$$

$$|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})| \leq a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}|^p \quad (a_1, b_1 \text{ 为正常数}), \quad (1.6)$$

其中, 当  $2M < n$  时,  $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$ ; 当  $2M \geq n$  时,  $2 \leq p < +\infty$ . 记  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i v_i$ ,  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}), \quad [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = \int_0^t (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) dt, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}], \quad |\mathbf{u}| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

## 2. 解的存在性

在证明解的存在性之前先来证明一个引理。

引理 1.1: 若  $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  为问题

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w_j = \lambda_j w_j, \\ D^\gamma w_j(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases} \quad (A)$$

的特征函数系, 这里  $\Omega$  为  $R^n$  中具有充分光滑边界的有界域,  $M$  为正整数,  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$  为拉普拉斯算子, 则  $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  中的正交基底且构成  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  的正交基底, 也构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  的正交基底。

证明: 考虑特征值问题

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w = \lambda w, \\ D^\gamma w(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases} \quad (A)$$

记  $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$ ,  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$  为  $n$  维拉普拉斯算子,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  为多重指标,  $\alpha_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$  为非负整

数,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$ ,  $H^{2M}(\Omega) = \{u(x) | u(x) \in L^2(\Omega), D^\alpha u(x) \in L^2(\Omega), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2M\}$ ,  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  为  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  按

$H^M(\Omega)$  范数的完备化空间, 这里  $H^M(\Omega)$  范数为  $\|u(x)\|_{H^M(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq M} \int_{\Omega} (D^\alpha u(x))^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  为在  $\Omega$  中具有

紧支集的无穷次可微函数组成的集合, 记  $\nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$  为梯度算子。

$$(u(x), v(x)) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) v(x) dx,$$

$$[u(x), v(x)] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx,$$

$$\{u(x), v(x)\} = \int_{\Omega} \Delta^M u(x) \Delta^M v(x) dx.$$

$$\forall u(x), v(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu(x), v(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = (u(x), Lv(x)), \end{aligned}$$

由上式知微分算子  $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$  的共轭算子  $L^* = L$ , 即算子  $L$  是自共轭的。

$\forall u(x), v(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega)$ , 微分算子  $L$  对应的双线性形式为

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu(x), v(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx \\ (Lu(x), u(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M u(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^M u(x)|^2 dx = |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  为  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中的等价模。

事实上,  $\forall u(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,  $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)} = \left\{ |u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \cdots + |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , 由 *Poincare'* 不等式,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla^2 u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\vdots \\ |\nabla^{M-1} u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

这里  $C$  在不同位置代表不同正常数, 因此  $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)} \leq C |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , 而  $|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}$  显然成立。

$$(Lu(x), u(x)) = |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 > C |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 > \frac{C}{2} |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2$$

(这里  $C$  为某一正常数), 算子  $L$  在  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  上是自共轭, 严格强制的。

由[5, p. 252]定理 7.23, 特征问题  $(A)$  具有特征函数  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  且对  $j=1, 2, \dots, \omega_j(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  的一组正交基,  $\omega_j(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ),  $\omega_j(x)$  对应的特征值  $\lambda_j$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ ) 都是实的且  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$ , 由于微分算子  $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$  是自共轭的且  $L$  对应的双线性形式在  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  上是严格强制的, 同样由[5, p. 252]定理 7.23, 特征值  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  都是正数, 下面说明特征函数系  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  的一组正交基。

反证: 注意到  $|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  为  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  的等价模, 在  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中可定义内积  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  (因在  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中由该内积诱导的范数与范数  $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}$  等价, 按内积空间定义可验证  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  确实为空间  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中一个内积)。若  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  按此内积不构成  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  的一组正交基, 则在  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中由  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  张成的闭线性子空间有非零的正交补空间  $V$ , 在  $V$  中取一非零元素  $v(x) \in V$ , 因此按此内积有

$$\begin{aligned} \forall j=1, 2, 3, \dots, [\omega_j(x), v(x)] &= 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M \omega_j(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

由  $\lambda_j > 0, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0$ , 即  $(\omega_j(x), v(x)) = 0$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots$ )。由  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  的一组正交基  $\Rightarrow v(x) = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  与  $v(x)$  为非零元素矛盾。故  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  中的一组正交基。

下面证明特征函数系  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中的一组正交基。

由[6, pp. 75-76]结论知,  $|\Delta^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  为空间  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中的等价模, 在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中可以定义内积  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ , 若按此内积  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  不构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中一正交基底, 则在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中由

$\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  张成闭线性子空间有非零正交补  $V'$ , 在  $V'$  中取一非零元素  $v(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ , 则

$\{\omega_j(x), v(x)\} = 0$ , 即

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta^M \omega_j(x) \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, \stackrel{(4)式}{\Rightarrow} \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0,$$

由

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j > 0 (j=1, 2, \dots) &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, j=1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, j=1, 2, 3, \dots, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M \omega_j(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

由

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j > 0 (j=1, 2, 3, \dots) &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0 (j=1, 2, \dots), \\ &\Rightarrow (\omega_j(x), v(x)) = 0 (j=1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

由  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  一组正交基知  $v(x) = 0$ , 与  $v(x)$  为一非零元素矛盾。因此  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  的一组正交基。引理证毕!

设  $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$  为问题  $\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w_j = \lambda_j w_j, \\ D^{\gamma} w_j(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases}$  的特征函数系, 由引理 1.1 知  $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$

构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  中的正交基底且构成  $H_0^M(\Omega)$  的正交基底, 也构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  的正交基底。对任何固定的  $m \in Z^+$  ( $Z^+$  为自然数集), 在由  $\{\omega_1(x), \dots, \omega_m(x)\}$  所张成的有限维空间中用下列方式确定非线性问题(I)的近似解

$$\begin{cases} u_{mi} = u_{mi}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{mij}(t) \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{mi}(x, 0) = \varphi_{mi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{mij} \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{mit}(x, 0) = \psi_{mi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_{mij} \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

其中  $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ 。记  $\mathbf{u}_m = (u_{m1}, u_{m2}, \dots, u_{mN})^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m = (\varphi_{m1}, \varphi_{m2}, \dots, \varphi_{mN})^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m = (\psi_{m1}, \psi_{m2}, \dots, \psi_{mN})^T$ , 使其满足

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{cases} (u_{mit}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M u_{mit}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M u_{mi}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_m), \omega_k), \\ u_{mi}(x, 0) = \varphi_{mi}(x), \\ u_{mit}(x, 0) = \psi_{mi}(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.4) \\ &i=1, 2, \dots, N; k=1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

由于

$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ , 知  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_N(x))^T$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) = (\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_N(x))^T$  中的分量函数  $\varphi_i \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,  $\psi_i \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ )。而  $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  的一组正交基, 可选适当的  $\xi_{mij}$ ,  $\eta_{mij}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) 使当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $\varphi_{mi} \rightarrow \varphi_i$ ,  $\psi_{mi} \rightarrow \psi_i$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛, 由常微分方程理论知(II)存在局部解  $\mathbf{u}_{mi}(x, t)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ )。

为得到整体解, 下面作近似解的先验估计: 引理 2.1: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$|\mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

证明: 方程组(2.4)两边同乘  $\mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$ ,

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k\right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k),$$

关于  $k$  从 1 到  $m$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left((-1)^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}\right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于  $i$  从 1 到  $N$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

而由条件(1.5)知

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \Big|_{\theta \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt} \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

首先利用 Green 公式, 然后两端从 0 到  $t$  积分, 得

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}],$$

从而有

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m),$$

两边加上  $[\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m]$ , 左边将它化为

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m),$$

右边将它估计为

$$[\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] \leq [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}],$$

从而有

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}], \end{aligned}$$

所以,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}], \end{aligned}$$

取  $\mathcal{E}$  充分小, 使得  $1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 则

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m], \end{aligned}$$

由于当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi$ ,  $\psi_m \rightarrow \psi$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛, 所以当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $(\psi_m, \psi_m) \rightarrow (\psi, \psi)$ ,  $(\nabla^M \varphi_m, \nabla^M \varphi_m) \rightarrow (\nabla^M \varphi, \nabla^M \varphi)$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(\psi_m, \psi_m) + (\nabla^M \varphi_m, \nabla^M \varphi_m)$  能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数来控制, 由 Gronwall 不等式得

$$|\mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$$

引理 2.1 证毕!

引理 2.2: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$|\mathbf{u}_{mtt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

证明: 方程组(2.4)两边关于  $t$  求导得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \omega_k) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \omega_k \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \omega_k \right) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k \right),$$

两边同乘以  $g_{mik}''(t)$ , 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right),$$

关于  $k$  从 1 到  $m$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right),$$

关于  $i$  从 1 到  $N$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right),$$

而由条件(1.5)知

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}),$$

从而有

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}),$$

两边从 0 到  $t$  积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \psi_m, \nabla^M \psi_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}], \end{aligned}$$

下证  $(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0))$  有界。

方程组(2.4)两边同乘以  $g_{mik}''(t)$  得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k),$$

关于  $k$  从 1 到  $m$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于  $i$  从 1 到  $N$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (f(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

令  $t = 0$  得

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) \\ & + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)), \\ & (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

(其中  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m = (\psi_{m1}(x), \dots, \psi_{mN}(x))^T$  )。

下面证明  $(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$  有界。

$$(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) \leq \left( a_1 + b_1 |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^p dx,$$

由于当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\psi}$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛, 由 Sobolev 嵌入定理可知  $|\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}$ , 其中当  $2M < n$  时,  $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$ ; 当  $2M \geq n$  时,  $2 \leq p < +\infty$ 。

所以

$$\int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^p dx = |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const},$$

从而有

$$\int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2} \times 2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} 1^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const},$$

因此,  $(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$  有界。

将  $\left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right)$  移至等式右边得

$$-\left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

因为  $\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0) = \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m$ , 所以

$$\left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

经计算得

$$\left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)),$$

将  $\left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right)$  移至等式右边得

$$-\left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

因为  $\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0) = \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m$ , 所以

$$\left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

经计算得

$$\left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} \left( (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)),$$

所以

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) &= - \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0) \right) - \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0) \right) + (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

因此

$$\left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \right) (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)).$$

取  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  充分小, 使得  $\left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,

当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m(x)$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛于  $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ , 故当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) \rightarrow (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi})$ ,  $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$  能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 同样道理,  $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m)$  能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 类似于前面的推导,  $(\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$  也能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 类似前面推导知  $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$  能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 所以  $\|\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ 。

又由已证的不等式

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}],$$

因为  $\|\mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  有界, 类似于前面的推导知  $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$  也能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 所以

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + C,$$

其中  $C$  为正常数, 再根据 Gronwall 不等式得

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T),$$

引理 2.2 证毕!

引理 2.3: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

证明: 方程(2.4)两边同乘以  $\lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$  得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k \right) = (\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k),$$

关于  $k$  从 1 到  $m$  作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于  $i$  从 1 到  $N$  作和得



$$\left(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) = \left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right),$$

对于右边项,

$$\left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right),$$

取  $\varepsilon_1$  充分小, 使得  $1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 所以

$$\left(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right),$$

由 Green 公式得

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right),$$

两边从 0 到  $t$  积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right], \end{aligned}$$

由引理 2.1, 引理 2.2 知,  $\|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T)$ ,

$$\left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right) \leq \left(a_1 + b_1 \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) = a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^p dx,$$

由 Sobolev 嵌入定理得

(注意到当  $2M < n$  时,  $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$ ; 当  $2M \geq n$  时,  $2 \leq p < +\infty$ ),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^p dx = \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const}, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2} \times 2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const}.$$

所以  $\left(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right) \leq \text{const}$ 。在下面不等式

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left[\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})\right], \end{aligned}$$

中, 由于当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m(x)$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛于  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m(x)$  在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  强收敛于

$\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ , 因此当  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m\right)$  收敛于  $\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m\right)$$

能用一与  $m$  无关的正常数控制住, 因此由以上不等式得

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right] \leq \text{const},$$

即

$$|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

引理 2.3 证毕!

引理 2.4: 在引理 2.3 条件下成立不等式  $|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T$ )。

证明: 方程(2.4)两边同乘以  $\lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$ ,

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k),$$

关于  $k$  从 1 到  $m$  作和

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit})$$

关于  $i$  从 1 到  $N$  作和

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

从而有

$$((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = -(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) - ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

而

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}), \\ -((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m), \\ (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})), \\ (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_m), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_m)), \end{aligned}$$

所以

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}\right) (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})).$$

由引理 2.3 的结论和引理 2.3 的证明过程及引理 2.2 知  $(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) \leq \text{const}$ ,  $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})) \leq \text{const}$ ,

$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) \leq \text{const}$ , 取  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$  充分小, 使  $1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 所以  $(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \text{const}$ , 即  $|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ .

引理 2.5 证毕!

定义:  $u = u(x, t)$  称为问题(1.1)~(1.4)于  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  上的整体强解, 若

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)), \\ u_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^M(\Omega) \cap H^{2M}(\Omega)), \\ u_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^M(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

对一切  $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  成立

$$\int_0^T \left( \mathbf{u}_t + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u} + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_t - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt = 0, \text{ 且 } \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x), \mathbf{u}_t|_{t=0} = \boldsymbol{\psi}(x).$$

定理 1: 若  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ,

$\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ , 条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则问题(I)存在上述意义下的整体强解  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t)$ 。

证明: 把(2.1)~(2.3)代入(II)得

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m g_{mij}''(t)(\omega_j, \omega_k) + \sum_{j=1}^m \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j, \omega_k \right) g_{mij}'(t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j, \omega_k \right) g_{mij}(t) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ g_{mij}(0) = \xi_{mij}, \\ g_{mij}'(0) = \eta_{mij}. \end{cases}$$

由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知  $\left| (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k) \right|$  可用一与  $\mathbf{u}_{mt}$  无关的正常数控制住, 因此由常微分方程理论知上面方程组有整体解  $g_{mij}(t)$ , 所以(II)有整体解  $u_{mi}(x, t)$ 。由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}, \\ \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}, \\ \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}. \end{aligned}$$

由弱紧性可得, 存在  $\{\mathbf{u}_m\}$  的一个子序列, 不妨设为  $\{\mathbf{u}_v\}$ , 当  $v \rightarrow \infty$  时,

$$\mathbf{u}_v \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u} \quad (2.5)$$

于  $L^\infty([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega))$  中,

$$\mathbf{u}_v \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u}_t \quad (2.6)$$

于  $L^\infty([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)) \subset L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$  中,

$$\mathbf{u}_v \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u}_t \quad (2.7)$$

于  $L^2([0, T], H_0^M(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$  中, 由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知,  $\mathbf{u}_v, \mathbf{u}_{vt}, \nabla \mathbf{u}_v$  (由内插不等式知  $|\nabla \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  能用  $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  与  $|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  控制住, 而  $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  与  $|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  是有界的, 故  $|\nabla \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  有界)。

于  $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) = L^2(Q_T)$  ( $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ ) 中有界, 因此  $\mathbf{u}_v$  于  $H^1(Q_T)$  中有界, 由  $H^1(Q_T)$  紧嵌入到  $L^2(Q_T)$  中知,  $\mathbf{u}_v$  可选出一子列(仍记为  $\mathbf{u}_v$ )使  $\mathbf{u}_v$  在  $L^2(Q_T)$  中强收敛且几乎处处收敛到  $\mathbf{u}_t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_v), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_v)) &\leq \left( a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\ &= a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

由引理 2.1 及引理 2.4 知  $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ , 由 Sobolev 嵌入定理得  $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq C |\mathbf{u}_v|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$  (当  $2M < n$  时,  $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$ ; 当  $2M \geq n$  时,  $2 \leq p < +\infty$ )。

$$\text{因为 } \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx = |\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const}, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} 1 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const},$$

所以

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})) = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

由于当  $v \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $\mathbf{u}_{v_t} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t$  在  $L^2(Q_T)$  中强收敛且几乎处处收敛及  $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})) = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ , 由[7](p. 11 引理 1.3)可知  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}) \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t)$  在  $L^2(Q_T)$  中弱收敛。

在  $(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \omega_k)$  中取  $m = v$  得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \omega_k),$$

两边同乘  $d_{ki}(t) \in C[0, T] (k = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), d_{ki}(t)\omega_k),$$

关于  $k = 1, 2, \dots, v' (v' \leq v)$  求和得

$$\left( \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) + \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) = \left( f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right)$$

关于  $t$  从 0 到  $T$  积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left( f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

由(2.5)~(2.7)及  $\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\}$  于  $L^2(Q_T)$  中弱收敛于  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t)$  (对应  $f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})$  于  $L^2(Q_T)$  中弱收敛于  $f_i(\mathbf{u}_t) (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ ), 在上式中令  $v \rightarrow +\infty$  得

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \mathbf{u}_{it}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_i, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{it}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left( f_i(\mathbf{u}_t), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

由于  $\{\omega_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$  构成  $L^2(\Omega)$  的一组标准正交基, 而  $d_{ki}(t) \in C[0, T] (k = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ ,

$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \mid v' = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\}$  在空间  $C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  中稠密, 因此对任意

$\mu_i(x, t) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  成立

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mu_i(x, t)) dt + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_i(x, t), \mu_i(x, t) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mu_i(x, t) \right) dt = \int_0^T (f_i(\mathbf{u}_t), \mu_i(x, t)) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

上式关于  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  求和得对任意  $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (\mu_1(x, t), \mu_2(x, t), \dots, \mu_N(x, t))^T \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$  成立

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t)) dt + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left( (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_t(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt = \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t), \mathbf{u}(x, t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

最后证明  $\mathbf{u}$  满足初始条件  $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ , 由(2.5), (2.6)知,  $u_{vi}(x, t), u_i(x, t) \in C([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega))$ , 故当  $v \rightarrow \infty$  时, 由(2.5)知  $u_{vi}(x, 0)$  弱收敛到  $u_i(x, 0)$  于  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中, 又已知  $v \rightarrow \infty$  时,  $u_{vi}(x, 0) \rightarrow \varphi_i(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ ) 在  $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$  中强收敛, 就得到了  $u_i(x, 0) = \varphi_i(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ )。所以  $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ 。

再证  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$  满足初始条件  $u_{it}(x, 0) = \psi_i(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ ), 由(2.6), (2.7)知  $u_{vit}(x, t), u_{it}(x, t) \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ , 因此, 当  $v \rightarrow \infty$  时, 由(2.6)知  $u_{vit}(x, 0)$  弱收敛到  $u_{it}(x, 0)$  于  $L^2(\Omega)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ ) 中。又因为当  $v \rightarrow +\infty$  时,  $u_{vit}(x, 0) \rightarrow \psi_i(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ ) 在  $L^2(\Omega)$  中强收敛, 从而得到  $u_{it}(x, 0) = \psi_i(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ ), 所以  $\mathbf{u}_t(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ 。

所以  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t)$  是问题(I)的强解。

### 3. 初边值问题(1.1)~(1.4)强解的唯一性

定理 2: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则非线性问题(I)整体强解唯一。

证明: 设  $\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2$  为非线性问题(I)的两个整体强解。

令  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2$ , 则  $\mathbf{w}$  满足

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}_t + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w} + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}_t = f(\mathbf{u}_t^1) - f(\mathbf{u}_t^2), \\ D^\gamma \mathbf{w}(x, t) \Big|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = \mathbf{0}, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \\ \mathbf{w}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{w}_t(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

两边用  $\mathbf{w}_t$  做内积, 得

$$(\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_t) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) = \left( \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{u}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \Big|_{\mathbf{u}_t^2 + \theta \mathbf{w}_t}, \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t \right) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

由条件(1.5)知

$$\left( \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{u}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \Big|_{\mathbf{u}_t^2 + \theta \mathbf{w}_t}, \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

因此

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t)$$

两边从 0 到  $t$  积分得

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t],$$

两边同时加上  $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}]$ , 左边将它化为  $\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w})$ , 右边将它估计为

$$[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t],$$

因此有

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \\ & \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + k_0 [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t], \end{aligned}$$

取  $\varepsilon$  充分小使  $1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , 得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \\ & \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}[\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}], \end{aligned}$$

由 Gronwall 不等式得

$$|\mathbf{w}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{w}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{w}_t|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0.$$

所以  $w \equiv 0$  a.e  $\mathbf{u}^1 = \mathbf{u}^2$ 。

## 参考文献 (References)

- [1] H. S. Sun. A nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic system. Science in China (Series A), 1989, 32(1).
- [2] 刘亚成. 方程  $u_t - \Delta u_t = f(u)$  的整体解[J]. 数学物理学报, 1989, 9(2).
- [3] 肖黎明. 一类高阶多非线性伪双曲方程[J]. 数学研究与评论, 1995, 15(1): 91-97.
- [4] R. A. Adams 著, 叶其孝等译. 索伯列夫空间[M]. 北京: 人民教育出版社, 1983.
- [5] G. B. Folland. Introduction to partial differential equations (2nd Edition). Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1995.
- [6] A. Friedman. Partial differential equations. Malabar: Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, 1983.
- [7] 李大潜, 陈韵梅. 非线性发展方程[M]. 北京: 科学出版社, 1999.