

The Initial Boundary Value Problems for a Class of Multidimensional Nonlinear Pseudo-Hyperbolic System of Higher Order*

Man Yan¹, Liming Xiao^{2#}

School of Computer Science, Guangdong Polytechnic Normal University, Guangzhou
Email: #xlmwhj@21cn.com

Received: Sep. 21st, 2012; revised: Sep. 30th, 2012; accepted: Oct. 17th, 2012

Abstract: In this paper, the initial boundary value problems for a class of multidimensional nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic system of higher order have been studied by Galerkin method, combining with the priori estimate of solution and Sobolev imbedding theorems, the existence and uniqueness of the global strong solution have been proved.

Keywords: Nonlinear Pseudo-Hyperbolic System; Priori Estimate; Galerkin Method; Sobolev Imbedding Theorems; The Global Strong Solutions

一类高阶 n 维非线性伪双曲方程组的初边值问题*

严 曼¹, 肖黎明^{2#}

广东技术师范学院计算机科学学院, 广州
Email: #xlmwhj@21cn.com

收稿日期: 2012 年 9 月 21 日; 修回日期: 2012 年 9 月 30 日; 录用日期: 2012 年 10 月 17 日

摘 要: 本文研究了一类高阶 n 维非线性伪双曲方程组, 利用 Galerkin 方法, 通过解的先验估计, 结合 Sobolev 嵌入定理, 证明了初边值问题整体强解的存在性和唯一性。

关键词: 非线性伪双曲方程组; 先验估计; Galerkin 方法; Sobolev 嵌入定理; 整体强解

1. 引言

非线性伪双曲方程是从动物神经传播, 粘弹性杆纵振动等生物、力学中提出的一类重要的非线性偏微分方程, 它的研究具有重要的理论与实际意义。文[1]研究了一类高阶非线性伪双曲方程组, 但空间变量是一维的。文[2]研究了一类多维非线性伪双曲方程 $u_{tt} - \Delta u_t = f(u)$ 的整体解, 文[3]研究了一类高阶 n 维非线性伪双曲方程的初边值问题。关于高阶 n 维非线性伪双曲方程组的研究在已有文献中还未见到, 本文研究了一类高阶 n 维非线性伪双曲方程组的初边值问题, 得到了整体强解的存在性和唯一性。

设 Ω 是 R^n 中具有充分光滑边界的有界域, $T > 0$, 考虑如下的初边值问题:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + (-1)^M \Delta^M u + (-1)^M \Delta u_t = f(u_t), x \in \Omega, t \in [0, T], & (1.1) \\ D^\gamma u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = 0, & 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, & (1.2) \\ u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), & x \in \Omega, & (1.3) \\ u_t(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x \in \Omega, & (1.4) \end{cases}$$

*资助信息: 第一作者的研究已经得到国家自然科学基金(NO. 11201086)的资助。

#通讯作者。

其中 M 为正整数, $\varphi(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, $\psi(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, $H^{2M}(\Omega), H_0^M(\Omega)$ 为相应的 Sobolev 空间, 其意义见[4], $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)^T$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$ 为多重指标, $\gamma_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$ 为非负整数, $|\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$, $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t) = (f_1(\mathbf{u}_t), f_2(\mathbf{u}_t), \dots, f_N(\mathbf{u}_t))^T$, 假设 \mathbf{f} 满足: $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{f} \in C^1$ 且 Jacobian 矩阵 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}}$ 半有界, 即 $\exists k_0 > 0$, $\forall \xi \in R^n$ 满足:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{u}} \xi, \xi \right) \leq k_0 (\xi, \xi), \quad (1.5)$$

$$|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u})| \leq a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}|^p \quad (a_1, b_1 \text{ 为正常数}), \quad (1.6)$$

其中, 当 $2M < n$ 时, $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$; 当 $2M \geq n$ 时, $2 \leq p < +\infty$. 记 $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i v_i$, $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} dx$,

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}), \quad [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}] = \int_0^t (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) dt, \quad \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = [\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}], \quad |\mathbf{u}| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

2. 解的存在性

在证明解的存在性之前先来证明一个引理。

引理 1.1: 若 $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$ 为问题

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w_j = \lambda_j w_j, \\ D^\gamma w_j(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases} \quad (A)$$

的特征函数系, 这里 Ω 为 R^n 中具有充分光滑边界的有界域, M 为正整数, $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ 为拉普拉斯算子, 则 $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$ 构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 中的正交基底且构成 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的正交基底, 也构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的正交基底。

证明: 考虑特征值问题

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w = \lambda w, \\ D^\gamma w(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases} \quad (A)$$

记 $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$, $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ 为 n 维拉普拉斯算子, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ 为多重指标, $\alpha_i (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$ 为非负整

数, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $H^{2M}(\Omega) = \{u(x) | u(x) \in L^2(\Omega), D^\alpha u(x) \in L^2(\Omega), 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2M\}$, $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 为 $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ 按 $H^M(\Omega)$ 范数的完备化空间, 这里 $H^M(\Omega)$ 范数为 $\|u(x)\|_{H^M(\Omega)} = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq M} \int_{\Omega} (D^\alpha u(x))^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ 为在 Ω 中具有

紧支集的无穷次可微函数组成的集合, 记 $\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$ 为梯度算子。

$$(u(x), v(x)) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx,$$

$$[u(x), v(x)] = \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx,$$

$$\{u(x), v(x)\} = \int_{\Omega} \Delta^M u(x) \Delta^M v(x) dx.$$

$$\forall u(x), v(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu(x), v(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M u(x) v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = (u(x), Lv(x)), \end{aligned}$$

由上式知微分算子 $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$ 的共轭算子 $L^* = L$, 即算子 L 是自共轭的。

$\forall u(x), v(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega)$, 微分算子 L 对应的双线性形式为

$$\begin{aligned} (Lu(x), v(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx \\ (Lu(x), u(x)) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M u(x) \nabla^M u(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla^M u(x)|^2 dx = |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 为 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中的等价模。

事实上, $\forall u(x) \in H_0^M(\Omega)$, $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)} = \left\{ |u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \cdots + |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, 由 *Poincare'* 不等式,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ |\nabla u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla^2 u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ &\vdots \\ |\nabla^{M-1} u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq C |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

这里 C 在不同位置代表不同正常数, 因此 $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)} \leq C |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, 而 $|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}$ 显然成立。

$$(Lu(x), u(x)) = |\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 > C |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 > \frac{C}{2} |u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2$$

(这里 C 为某一正常数), 算子 L 在 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 上是自共轭, 严格强制的。

由[5, p. 252]定理 7.23, 特征问题 (A) 具有特征函数 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 且对 $j=1, 2, \dots, \omega_j(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基, $\omega_j(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots$), $\omega_j(x)$ 对应的特征值 λ_j ($j=1, 2, \dots$) 都是实的且 $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j = +\infty$, 由于微分算子 $L = (-1)^M \Delta^M$ 是自共轭的且 L 对应的双线性形式在 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 上是严格强制的, 同样由[5, p. 252]定理 7.23, 特征值 $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 都是正数, 下面说明特征函数系 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基。

反证: 注意到 $|\nabla^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 为 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的等价模, 在 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中可定义内积 $[\cdot, \cdot]$ (因在 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中由该内积诱导的范数与范数 $|u(x)|_{H^M(\Omega)}$ 等价, 按内积空间定义可验证 $[\cdot, \cdot]$ 确实为空间 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中一个内积)。若 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 按此内积不构成 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基, 则在 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中由 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 张成的闭线性子空间有非零的正交补空间 V , 在 V 中取一非零元素 $v(x) \in V$, 因此按此内积有

$$\begin{aligned} \forall j=1, 2, 3, \dots, [\omega_j(x), v(x)] &= 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M \omega_j(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

由 $\lambda_j > 0, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0$, 即 $(\omega_j(x), v(x)) = 0$ ($j=1, 2, \dots$)。由 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基 $\Rightarrow v(x) = 0$, Ω 与 $v(x)$ 为非零元素矛盾。故 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中的一组正交基。

下面证明特征函数系 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中的一组正交基。

由[6, pp. 75-76]结论知, $|\Delta^M u(x)|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 为空间 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中的等价模, 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中可以定义内积 $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, 若按此内积 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 不构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中一正交基底, 则在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中由

$\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 张成闭线性子空间有非零正交补 V' , 在 V' 中取一非零元素 $v(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, 则

$\{\omega_j(x), v(x)\} = 0$, 即

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta^M \omega_j(x) \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, \stackrel{(4)式}{\Rightarrow} \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0,$$

由

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j > 0 (j=1, 2, \dots) &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, j=1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) (-1)^M \Delta^M v(x) dx = 0, j=1, 2, 3, \dots, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \nabla^M \omega_j(x) \nabla^M v(x) dx = 0, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0, \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \lambda_j \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

由

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j > 0 (j=1, 2, 3, \dots) &\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \omega_j(x) v(x) dx = 0 (j=1, 2, \dots), \\ &\Rightarrow (\omega_j(x), v(x)) = 0 (j=1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

由 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 一组正交基知 $v(x) = 0$, 与 $v(x)$ 为一非零元素矛盾。因此 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基。引理证毕!

设 $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$ 为问题 $\begin{cases} (-1)^M \Delta^M w_j = \lambda_j w_j, \\ D^\gamma w_j(x)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \end{cases}$ 的特征函数系, 由引理 1.1 知 $\{\omega_j(x) | j=1, 2, \dots\}$

构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 中的正交基底且构成 $H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的正交基底, 也构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的正交基底。对任何固定的 $m \in Z^+$ (Z^+ 为自然数集), 在由 $\{\omega_1(x), \dots, \omega_m(x)\}$ 所张成的有限维空间中用下列方式确定非线性问题(I)的近似解

$$\begin{cases} u_{mi} = u_{mi}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{mij}(t) \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{mi}(x, 0) = \varphi_{mi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_{mij} \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_{mit}(x, 0) = \psi_{mi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \eta_{mij} \omega_j(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

其中 $i=1, 2, \dots, N$ 。记 $\mathbf{u}_m = (u_{m1}, u_{m2}, \dots, u_{mN})^T$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m = (\varphi_{m1}, \varphi_{m2}, \dots, \varphi_{mN})^T$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m = (\psi_{m1}, \psi_{m2}, \dots, \psi_{mN})^T$, 使其满足

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{cases} (u_{mit}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M u_{mit}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M u_{mi}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_m), \omega_k), \\ u_{mi}(x, 0) = \varphi_{mi}(x), \\ u_{mit}(x, 0) = \psi_{mi}(x), \end{cases} \quad (2.4) \\ &i=1, 2, \dots, N; k=1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

由于

$\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, 知 $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_N(x))^T$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) = (\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_N(x))^T$ 中的分量函数 $\varphi_i \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, $\psi_i \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$)。而 $\{\omega_j(x)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 的一组正交基, 可选适当的 ξ_{mij} , η_{mij} ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) 使当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $\varphi_{mi} \rightarrow \varphi_i$, $\psi_{mi} \rightarrow \psi_i$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛, 由常微分方程理论知(II)存在局部解 $\mathbf{u}_{mi}(x, t)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$)。

为得到整体解, 下面作近似解的先验估计: 引理 2.1: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$|\mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

证明: 方程组(2.4)两边同乘 $\mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$,

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k\right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)\omega_k),$$

关于 k 从 1 到 m 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left((-1)^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}\right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于 i 从 1 到 N 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}\right) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

而由条件(1.5)知

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}), \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \Big|_{\theta \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt} \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

首先利用 Green 公式, 然后两端从 0 到 t 积分, 得

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}],$$

从而有

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m),$$

两边加上 $[\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m]$, 左边将它化为

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m),$$

右边将它估计为

$$[\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] \leq [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}],$$

从而有

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + [\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}], \end{aligned}$$

所以,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}], \end{aligned}$$

取 \mathcal{E} 充分小, 使得 $1 - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, 则

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mt}] + [\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_m] + \frac{1}{2\mathcal{E}}[\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m], \end{aligned}$$

由于当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $\varphi_m \rightarrow \varphi$, $\psi_m \rightarrow \psi$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛, 所以当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $(\psi_m, \psi_m) \rightarrow (\psi, \psi)$, $(\nabla^M \varphi_m, \nabla^M \varphi_m) \rightarrow (\nabla^M \varphi, \nabla^M \varphi)$, $\frac{1}{2}(\psi_m, \psi_m) + (\nabla^M \varphi_m, \nabla^M \varphi_m)$ 能用一与 m 无关的正常数来控制, 由 Gronwall 不等式得

$$|\mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$$

引理 2.1 证毕!

引理 2.2: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$|\mathbf{u}_{mtt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T).$$

证明: 方程组(2.4)两边关于 t 求导得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \omega_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \omega_k \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \omega_k \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k \right),$$

两边同乘以 $g_{mik}''(t)$, 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right),$$

关于 k 从 1 到 m 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right),$$

关于 i 从 1 到 N 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right),$$

而由条件(1.5)知

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{mt}} \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt} \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}),$$

从而有

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}),$$

两边从 0 到 t 积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \psi_m, \nabla^M \psi_m) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}], \end{aligned}$$

下证 $(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0))$ 有界。

方程组(2.4)两边同乘以 $g_{mik}''(t)$ 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mttt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mitt}, g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k \right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), g_{mik}''(t) \omega_k),$$

关于 k 从 1 到 m 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于 i 从 1 到 N 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (f(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

令 $t = 0$ 得

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) \\ & + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)), \\ & (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

(其中 $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m = (\psi_{m1}(x), \dots, \psi_{mN}(x))^T$).

下面证明 $(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$ 有界。

$$(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) \leq \left(a_1 + b_1 |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^p dx,$$

由于当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\psi}$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛, 由 Sobolev 嵌入定理可知 $|\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}$, 其中当 $2M < n$ 时, $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$; 当 $2M \geq n$ 时, $2 \leq p < +\infty$ 。

所以

$$\int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^p dx = |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const},$$

从而有

$$\int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|^{\frac{p}{2} \times 2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{2}} |\boldsymbol{\psi}_m|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const},$$

因此, $(f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), f(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$ 有界。

将 $\left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right)$ 移至等式右边得

$$-\left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

因为 $\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0) = \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m$, 所以

$$\left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

经计算得

$$\left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0)),$$

将 $\left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right)$ 移至等式右边得

$$-\left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

因为 $\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0) = \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m$, 所以

$$\left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right) = \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mit}(x, 0) \right),$$

经计算得

$$\left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0) \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} \left((-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, (-1)^{M+1} \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)),$$

所以

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) &= - \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0) \right) - \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0) \right) + (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)) + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)), \end{aligned}$$

因此

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \right) (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m)).$$

取 $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ 充分小, 使得 $\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m(x)$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛于 $\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$, 故当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$, $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) \rightarrow (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi})$, $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$ 能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 同样道理, $(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m)$ 能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 类似于前面的推导, $(\mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m), \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_m))$ 也能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 类似前面推导知 $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$ 能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 所以 $\|\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ 。

又由已证的不等式

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0), \mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}],$$

因为 $\|\mathbf{u}_{mtt}(x, 0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ 有界, 类似于前面的推导知 $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m)$ 也能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 所以

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \mathbf{u}_{mtt}] + C,$$

其中 C 为正常数, 再根据 Gronwall 不等式得

$$\|\mathbf{u}_{mtt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mtt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T),$$

引理 2.2 证毕!

引理 2.3: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则有估计式

$$\|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

证明: 方程(2.4)两边同乘以 $\lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$ 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k \right) = (\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \boldsymbol{\omega}_k),$$

关于 k 从 1 到 m 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mtt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit} \right) = (\mathbf{f}_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}),$$

关于 i 从 1 到 N 作和得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}),$$

对于右边项,

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})) + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}),$$

取 ε_1 充分小, 使得 $1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, 所以

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})),$$

由 Green 公式得

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})),$$

两边从 0 到 t 积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2} [\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})], \end{aligned}$$

由引理 2.1, 引理 2.2 知, $\|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const} \quad (0 \leq t \leq T)$,

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})) \leq \left(a_1 + b_1 \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) = a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^p dx,$$

由 Sobolev 嵌入定理得

(注意到当 $2M < n$ 时, $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$; 当 $2M \geq n$ 时, $2 \leq p < +\infty$),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^p dx = \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const}, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_{mt}|^{\frac{p}{2} \times 2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const}.$$

所以 $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})) \leq \text{const}$ 。在下面不等式

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2} [\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} [\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})], \end{aligned}$$

中, 由于当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_m(x)$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛于 $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$, $\boldsymbol{\psi}_m(x)$ 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 强收敛于

$\boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$, 因此当 $m \rightarrow +\infty$, $\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m)$ 收敛于 $\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi})$,

$$\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m, \nabla^M \boldsymbol{\psi}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m, \Delta^M \boldsymbol{\varphi}_m)$$

能用一与 m 无关的正常数控制住, 因此由以上不等式得

$$\frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2} [\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}] \leq \text{const},$$

即

$$|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

引理 2.3 证毕!

引理 2.4: 在引理 2.3 条件下成立不等式 $|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ ($0 \leq t \leq T$)。

证明: 方程(2.4)两边同乘以 $\lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t)$,

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \lambda_k \mathbf{g}'_{mik}(t) \omega_k),$$

关于 k 从 1 到 m 作和

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mi}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit}) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mit})$$

关于 i 从 1 到 N 作和

$$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

从而有

$$((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) = -(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) - ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt})$$

而

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}), \\ -((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m), \\ (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})), \\ (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_m), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_m)), \end{aligned}$$

所以

$$\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2}\right) (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} (\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} (\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_3} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})).$$

由引理 2.3 的结论和引理 2.3 的证明过程及引理 2.2 知 $(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m) \leq \text{const}$, $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{mt})) \leq \text{const}$,

$(\mathbf{u}_{mit}, \mathbf{u}_{mit}) \leq \text{const}$, 取 $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ 充分小, 使 $1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_3}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, 所以 $(\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}, \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}) \leq \text{const}$, 即 $|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$.

引理 2.5 证毕!

定义: $u = u(x, t)$ 称为问题(1.1)~(1.4)于 $\Omega \times [0, T]$ 上的整体强解, 若

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)), \\ u_t &\in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^M(\Omega) \cap H^{2M}(\Omega)), \\ u_{tt} &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^M(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

对一切 $\mathbf{u}(x, t) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ 成立

$$\int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_t + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u} + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_t - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt = 0, \text{ 且 } \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x), \mathbf{u}_t|_{t=0} = \boldsymbol{\psi}(x).$$

定理 1: 若 $\boldsymbol{\varphi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$,

$\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) \in H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$, 条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则问题(I)存在上述意义下的整体强解 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t)$ 。

证明: 把(2.1)~(2.3)代入(II)得

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m g_{mij}''(t)(\omega_j, \omega_k) + \sum_{j=1}^m \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j, \omega_k \right) g_{mij}'(t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \omega_j, \omega_k \right) g_{mij}(t) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ g_{mij}(0) = \xi_{mij}, \\ g_{mij}'(0) = \eta_{mij}. \end{cases}$$

由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知 $\left| (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{mt}), \omega_k) \right|$ 可用一与 \mathbf{u}_{mt} 无关的正常数控制住, 因此由常微分方程理论知上面方程组有整体解 $g_{mij}(t)$, 所以(II)有整体解 $u_{mi}(x, t)$ 。由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}, \\ \|\mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}, \\ \|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_{mt}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const}, \quad \|\Delta^M \mathbf{u}_m\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}. \end{aligned}$$

由弱紧性可得, 存在 $\{\mathbf{u}_m\}$ 的一个子序列, 不妨设为 $\{\mathbf{u}_v\}$, 当 $v \rightarrow \infty$ 时,

$$\mathbf{u}_v \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u} \quad (2.5)$$

于 $L^\infty([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega))$ 中,

$$\mathbf{u}_{vt} \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u}_t \quad (2.6)$$

于 $L^\infty([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)) \subset L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ 中,

$$\mathbf{u}_{vt} \text{ 弱*收敛到 } \mathbf{u}_t \quad (2.7)$$

于 $L^2([0, T], H_0^M(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$ 中, 由引理 2.1~引理 2.4 知, $\mathbf{u}_v, \mathbf{u}_{vt}, \nabla \mathbf{u}_v$ (由内插不等式知 $|\nabla \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 能用 $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 与 $|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 控制住, 而 $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 与 $|\nabla^M \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 是有界的, 故 $|\nabla \mathbf{u}_v|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ 有界)。

于 $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) = L^2(Q_T)$ ($Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$) 中有界, 因此 \mathbf{u}_v 于 $H^1(Q_T)$ 中有界, 由 $H^1(Q_T)$ 紧嵌入到 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中知, \mathbf{u}_v 可选出一子列(仍记为 \mathbf{u}_v)使 \mathbf{u}_v 在 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中强收敛且几乎处处收敛到 \mathbf{u} ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_v), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_v)) &\leq \left(a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}}, a_1 + b_1 |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\ &= a_1^2 |\Omega| + 2a_1 b_1 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx + b_1^2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

由引理 2.1 及引理 2.4 知 $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$, 由 Sobolev 嵌入定理得 $|\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 \leq C |\mathbf{u}_v|_{H^M(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$ (当 $2M < n$ 时, $2 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n-2M}$; 当 $2M \geq n$ 时, $2 \leq p < +\infty$)。

$$\text{因为 } \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx = |\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq \text{const}, \quad \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}_v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} 1 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\mathbf{u}_v|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \text{const},$$

所以

$$(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})) = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}.$$

由于当 $v \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $\mathbf{u}_{v_t} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}_t$ 在 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中强收敛且几乎处处收敛及 $(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})) = \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const}$, 由[7](p. 11 引理 1.3)可知 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}) \rightarrow \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t)$ 在 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中弱收敛。

在 $(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \omega_k)$ 中取 $m = v$ 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \omega_k),$$

两边同乘 $d_{ki}(t) \in C[0, T] (k = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ 得

$$(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, d_{ki}(t)\omega_k) = (f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), d_{ki}(t)\omega_k),$$

关于 $k = 1, 2, \dots, v' (v' \leq v)$ 求和得

$$\left(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) + \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) = \left(f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right)$$

关于 t 从 0 到 T 积分得

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_i}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{v_{it}}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left(f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t}), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

由(2.5)~(2.7)及 $\{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})\}$ 于 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中弱收敛于 $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t)$ (对应 $f_i(\mathbf{u}_{v_t})$ 于 $L^2(Q_T)$ 中弱收敛于 $f_i(\mathbf{u}_t) (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$), 在上式中令 $v \rightarrow +\infty$ 得

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left(\mathbf{u}_{it}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_i, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{it}, \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt = \int_0^T \left(f_i(\mathbf{u}_t), \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

由于 $\{\omega_k(x)\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ 构成 $L^2(\Omega)$ 的一组标准正交基, 而 $d_{ki}(t) \in C[0, T] (k = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$,

$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{v'} d_{ki}(t)\omega_k(x) \mid v' = 1, 2, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, N \right\}$ 在空间 $C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ 中稠密, 因此对任意

$\mu_i(x, t) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) (i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$ 成立

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mu_i(x, t)) dt + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_i(x, t), \mu_i(x, t) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mu_i(x, t) \right) dt = \int_0^T (f_i(\mathbf{u}_t), \mu_i(x, t)) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

上式关于 $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ 求和得对任意 $\mathbf{u}(x, t) = (\mu_1(x, t), \mu_2(x, t), \dots, \mu_N(x, t))^T \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega))$ 成立

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\mathbf{u}_{it}(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t)) dt + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \left((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{u}_t(x, t), \mathbf{u}(x, t) \right) dt = \int_0^T (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_t), \mathbf{u}(x, t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

最后证明 \mathbf{u} 满足初始条件 $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$, 由(2.5), (2.6)知, $u_{vi}(x, t), u_i(x, t) \in C([0, T], H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega))$, 故当 $v \rightarrow \infty$ 时, 由(2.5)知 $u_{vi}(x, 0)$ 弱收敛到 $u_i(x, 0)$ 于 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中, 又已知 $v \rightarrow \infty$ 时, $u_{vi}(x, 0) \rightarrow \varphi_i(x)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$) 在 $H^{2M}(\Omega) \cap H_0^M(\Omega)$ 中强收敛, 就得到了 $u_i(x, 0) = \varphi_i(x)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$)。所以 $\mathbf{u}(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x)$ 。

再证 $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$ 满足初始条件 $u_{it}(x, 0) = \psi_i(x)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$), 由(2.6), (2.7)知 $u_{vit}(x, t), u_{it}(x, t) \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega))$, 因此, 当 $v \rightarrow \infty$ 时, 由(2.6)知 $u_{vit}(x, 0)$ 弱收敛到 $u_{it}(x, 0)$ 于 $L^2(\Omega)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$) 中。又因为当 $v \rightarrow +\infty$ 时, $u_{vit}(x, 0) \rightarrow \psi_i(x)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$) 在 $L^2(\Omega)$ 中强收敛, 从而得到 $u_{it}(x, 0) = \psi_i(x)$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$), 所以 $\mathbf{u}_t(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\psi}(x)$ 。

所以 $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x, t)$ 是问题(I)的强解。

3. 初边值问题(1.1)~(1.4)强解的唯一性

定理 2: 若条件(1.5), (1.6)成立, 则非线性问题(I)整体强解唯一。

证明: 设 $\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2$ 为非线性问题(I)的两个整体强解。

令 $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u}^1 - \mathbf{u}^2$, 则 \mathbf{w} 满足

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{w}_t + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w} + (-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}_t = f(\mathbf{u}_t^1) - f(\mathbf{u}_t^2), \\ D^\gamma \mathbf{w}(x, t) \Big|_{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]} = \mathbf{0}, \quad 0 \leq |\gamma| \leq M-1, \\ \mathbf{w}(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{w}_t(x, 0) = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

两边用 \mathbf{w}_t 做内积, 得

$$(\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_t) + ((-1)^M \Delta^M \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) = \left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{u}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \Big|_{\mathbf{u}_t^2 + \theta \mathbf{w}_t}, \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t \right) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

由条件(1.5)知

$$\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{u}_t)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_t} \Big|_{\mathbf{u}_t^2 + \theta \mathbf{w}_t}, \mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t \right) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) \quad (0 < \theta < 1),$$

因此

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t) \leq k_0 (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t)$$

两边从 0 到 t 积分得

$$\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \leq k_0 [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t],$$

两边同时加上 $[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}]$, 左边将它化为 $\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w})$, 右边将它估计为

$$[\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t],$$

因此有

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \\ & \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + k_0 [\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} [\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t], \end{aligned}$$

取 ε 充分小使 $1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$, 得

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}) + (\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}) + \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}_t] \\ & \leq [\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}] + (k_0 + 1)[\mathbf{w}_t, \mathbf{w}_t] + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}[\nabla^M \mathbf{w}, \nabla^M \mathbf{w}], \end{aligned}$$

由 Gronwall 不等式得

$$|\mathbf{w}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla^M \mathbf{w}|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\mathbf{w}_t|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0.$$

所以 $w \equiv 0$ a.e $\mathbf{u}^1 = \mathbf{u}^2$ 。

参考文献 (References)

- [1] H. S. Sun. A nonlinear pseudo-hyperbolic system. Science in China (Series A), 1989, 32(1).
- [2] 刘亚成. 方程 $u_t - \Delta u_t = f(u)$ 的整体解[J]. 数学物理学报, 1989, 9(2).
- [3] 肖黎明. 一类高阶多维非线性伪双曲方程[J]. 数学研究与评论, 1995, 15(1): 91-97.
- [4] R. A. Adams 著, 叶其孝等译. 索伯列夫空间[M]. 北京: 人民教育出版社, 1983.
- [5] G. B. Folland. Introduction to partial differential equations (2nd Edition). Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1995.
- [6] A. Friedman. Partial differential equations. Malabar: Robert E. Krieger Publishing Company, 1983.
- [7] 李大潜, 陈韵梅. 非线性发展方程[M]. 北京: 科学出版社, 1999.